**Marking Rubric of Thesis/Report**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID 1:………………, ID2:……………….., ID3:………………..** | | **Title:** | | | |
| **Category** | **Outstanding (Up to 100%)** | **Very Good**  **(Up to 75%)** | **Acceptable**  **(Up to 50%)** | **Poor**  **(Up to 25%)** | **Marks** |
| **(5)**  **Problem statement & Objective** | Actual problem of this field has been clearly stated with sufficient citation and objectives have been sent accordingly without any apparent error. | Actual problem of this field has been clearly stated with sufficient citation and objectives have been sent accordingly with some apparent error. | The problem is somewhat highlighted but objectives have not been set accordingly and there is some error. | Unable to clarify the understanding of the problem. |  |
| **(5)**  **Critical Analysis on Literature** | Presents a well-organized review of previous works covering most recent publication with critical analysis and valid conclusion of existing work. | Presents somewhat well-organized review of previous works covering most recent publication with critical analysis and valid conclusion of existing work. | The literature review does not cover sufficient existing literature and/or recent work with insufficient critical analysis and conclusion. | Unable to clarify the understanding of the problem. |  |
| **(10)**  **Theory and Methodology** | Appropriate method has been chosen for the solution of stated problem where method of design and analysis has been stated clearly with sufficient theoretical support where necessary. | The method has been chosen for the solution of stated problem is somewhat appropriate where method of design and analysis has been stated with some limitation. | The selected method design and analysis have some limitation and/or not clearly stated. | The selected mehtod, desing and analysis in not appropriate and/or sufficient at al. |  |
| **(20)**  **Result Discussion & Novelty** | All the significant findings/results have been clearly presented and discussed with perfectly highlighting the novelty and/or original contribution of work. | All the significant findings/results have been clearly presented and discussed with limitation of highlighting the novelty and/or original contribution of work. | The presentation of results and discussion has some limitation. | Result and discussion is presented very poorly. |  |
| **(5)**  **Technical Writing** | Technical writing standard is excellent. No apparent grammatical mistakes found. | Technical writing standard is very good but some apparent errors found. | Technical writing standard is somewhat good but many apparent mistakes found. | Technical writing standard is very poor. |  |
| **(5)**  **Graphical Representation** | All the graphical information has been presently smartly with sufficient leveling where key information has been highlighted perfectly. | Most of the graphical information has been presently smartly with sufficient leveling where key information has been highlighted perfectly. | Graphical information is somewhat clear but difficult to extract the key information. | Graphical information has been presently very poorly. |  |
| **(5)**  **Format, Citations, & References** | Given format has been followed perfectly for through the thesis including citation and reference. | Given format has been followed perfectly for through the thesis including citation and reference with some minor mismatch. | Given format has been followed partly. | Given format has not been followed at al. |  |
| Total Marks | | | | |  |
| (15) Continuous assessment (Only for Supervisor, Not for Examiner) | | | | |  |

**-----------------------------------------**

**Signature of Supervisor/Examiner**

**Name:…………………….., Designation:……………………., Date:…………..**